

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/991,352	11/20/2001	Roger A. Wilson	20000514.ORI	3354	
23595 7:	590 09/10/2003				
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.			EXAMINER		
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 820			FOX, CHA	FOX, CHARLES A	
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE.		
			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/991,352	WILSON, ROGER A.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles A. Fox	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;			
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply t ich places the application	o a on in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Accevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. In the sension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See Manager 136(a) and the appropriate extension of the final Office action; or (2) a	MPEP ension fee on fee under as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simp	olifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed ar	nendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request to application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT p	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were r	newly			
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examine	∍r.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		lalele	20			
		EILEEN D. LII SUPERVISORY PATENT	LLIS			
		TECHNOLOGY CENT				



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The structural limitation regarding the hopper and the conveyor belt framework in claim 1 raise new issues that require a new search.